

Agricultural and Food Policy Center
Texas A&M University

April 2010

A HISTORICAL LOOK AT TEXAS CRP PARTICIPATION: TEXAS AGRILIFE EXTENSION DISTRICT 6



AFPC

Department of Agricultural Economics
Texas AgriLife Research
Texas AgriLife Extension Service
Texas A&M University

AgriLIFE RESEARCH
& EXTENSION
Texas A&M System

College Station, Texas 77843-2124
Telephone: (979) 845-5913
Fax: (979) 845-3140
<http://www.afpc.tamu.edu>

© 2010 by the Agricultural and Food Policy Center

Research Report 10-1.6

Agricultural and Food Policy Center
Department of Agricultural Economics
2124 TAMU
College Station, TX 77843-2124
Web site: www.afpc.tamu.edu

A HISTORICAL LOOK AT TEXAS CRP PARTICIPATION: TEXAS AGRILIFE EXTENSION DISTRICT 6

Laura M. Bankhead
Joe L. Outlaw
David P. Ernstes



**Agricultural and Food Policy Center
The Texas A&M University System**

Agricultural & Food Policy Center
Department of Agricultural Economics
Texas AgriLife Research
Texas AgriLife Extension Service
Texas A&M University

Excerpts from APFC Research Report 10-1

April 2010

College Station, Texas 77843-2124

Telephone: 979.845.5913

Fax: 979.845.3140

Web site: <http://www.afpc.tamu.edu/>

Texas AgriLife Extension Districts




Table of Contents

Texas AgriLife Extension Districts	2
Table of Contents.....	3
Texas Counties by Texas AgriLife Districts	4
Introduction	6
Conservation Reserve Program Legislative History	6

Figures

Texas Conservation Reserve Program Signups 1-12	8
Texas Conservation Reserve Program Signups 13-38.....	9
Conservation Reserve Program Acres Expiring in 2010.....	10
Conservation Reserve Program Acres Expiring in 2011	11
Conservation Reserve Program Acres Expiring in 2012.....	12
Conservation Reserve Program Acres Expiring in 2013.....	13
Conservation Reserve Program Acres Expiring in 2014.....	14
Conservation Reserve Program Acres Expiring in 2015.....	15
Top Ten Texas Counties in Acres Enrolled in CRP	16
Top Ten Texas Counties in Number of CRP Contracts.....	17
Top Ten Texas Counties in Average Acres per CRP Contract.....	18
Top Ten Texas Counties in Average Rental per Acre Enrolled in CRP	19

Data Tables

CRP Data for Texas and Extension Districts (Signups 1-12).....	20
CRP Data for Texas and Extension Districts (Signups 13-38)	21
Acres of CRP for Texas and Extension Districts by Signup Period	22
Acres of CRP for Texas and Extension Districts by Signup Period	23
CRP Enrollment by Year of Contract Expiration by Extension District (All Signup Types)	24
CRP Data for Texas, District 6, and District Counties (Signups 1-12)	25
CRP Data for Texas, District 6, and District Counties (Signups 13-38).....	26
Acres of CRP for Texas, District 6, and District Counties by Signup Period.....	27
Acres of CRP for Texas, District 6, and District Counties by Signup Period.....	28
CRP Enrollment by Year of Contract Expiration for District 6 Counties (All Signup Types)	29

Texas Counties by Texas AgriLife Extension Districts

Anderson	District 5
Andrews	District 6
Angelina	District 5
Aransas	District 11
Archer	District 3
Armstrong.....	District 1
Atascosa	District 12
Austin	District 11
Bailey	District 2
Bandera	District 10
Bastrop.....	District 10
Baylor	District 3
Bee	District 11
Bell	District 8
Bexar	District 10
Blanco	District 10
Borden	District 2
Bosque	District 8
Bowie	District 4
Brazoria	District 9
Brazos	District 9
Briscoe	District 1
Brooks	District 12
Brown	District 7
Brewster	District 6
Burleson.....	District 9
Burnet	District 7
Caldwell.....	District 10
Calhoun	District 11
Callahan	District 7
Cameron	District 12
Camp	District 4
Carson	District 1
Cass	District 4
Castro	District 2
Chambers	District 9
Cherokee	District 5
Childress.....	District 3
Clay	District 3
Cochran	District 2
Coke	District 7
Coleman	District 7
Collin	District 4
Collingsworth	District 1
Colorado	District 11
Comal	District 10
Comanche.....	District 8
Concho	District 7
Cooke	District 4
Coryell	District 8
Cottle	District 3
Crane	District 6
Crockett.....	District 6
Crosby	District 2
Culberson	District 6
Dallam	District 1
Dallas	District 4
Dawson	District 2
Deaf Smith	District 1
Delta	District 4
Denton	District 4
Dewitt.....	District 11

Dickens.....	District 3
Dimmitt	District 12
Donley	District 1
Duval	District 12
Eastland	District 8
Ector	District 6
Edwards	District 10
El Paso	District 6
Ellis	District 8
Erath.....	District 8
Falls.....	District 8
Fannin	District 4
Fayette	District 11
Fisher	District 7
Floyd.....	District 2
Foard	District 3
Fort Bend	District 9
Franklin	District 4
Freestone	District 8
Frio	District 12
Gaines	District 2
Galveston	District 9
Garza	District 2
Gillespie.....	District 10
Glasscock.....	District 6
Goliad	District 11
Gonzales.....	District 10
Gray	District 1
Grayson	District 4
Gregg	District 5
Grimes.....	District 9
Guadalupe.....	District 10
Hale	District 2
Hall	District 3
Hamilton.....	District 8
Hansford	District 1
Hardeman.....	District 3
Hardin	District 9
Harris	District 9
Harrison.....	District 5
Hartley	District 1
Haskell.....	District 3
Hays	District 10
Hemphill.....	District 1
Henderson	District 5
Hidalgo	District 12
Hill	District 8
Hockley.....	District 2
Hood	District 8
Hopkins	District 4
Houston.....	District 5
Howard.....	District 6
Hudspeth	District 6
Hunt	District 4
Hutchinson	District 1
Irion	District 7
Jack	District 3
Jackson	District 11
Jasper.....	District 5
Jeff Davis.....	District 6
Jefferson	District 9
Jim Hogg.....	District 12

Jim Wells.....	District 12
Johnson.....	District 8
Jones.....	District 7
Karnes.....	District 11
Kaufman.....	District 4
Kendall.....	District 10
Kenedy.....	District 12
Kent.....	District 3
Kerr.....	District 10
Kimble.....	District 10
King.....	District 3
Kinney.....	District 10
Kleberg.....	District 12
Knox.....	District 3
Lamar.....	District 4
Lamb.....	District 2
Lampasas.....	District 7
Lasalle.....	District 12
Lavaca.....	District 11
Lee.....	District 9
Leon.....	District 8
Liberty.....	District 9
Limestone.....	District 8
Lipscomb.....	District 1
Live Oak.....	District 12
Llano.....	District 7
Loving.....	District 6
Lubbock.....	District 2
Lynn.....	District 2
Madison.....	District 9
Marion.....	District 5
Martin.....	District 6
Mason.....	District 7
Matagorda.....	District 11
Maverick.....	District 12
Mcculloch.....	District 7
Mclennan.....	District 8
Mcmullen.....	District 12
Medina.....	District 10
Menard.....	District 7
Midland.....	District 6
Milam.....	District 8
Mills.....	District 7
Mitchell.....	District 2
Montague.....	District 3
Montgomery.....	District 9
Moore.....	District 1
Morris.....	District 4
Motley.....	District 3
Nacogdoches.....	District 5
Navarro.....	District 8
Newton.....	District 5
Nolan.....	District 7
Nueces.....	District 11
Ochiltree.....	District 1
Oldham.....	District 1
Orange.....	District 9
Palo Pinto.....	District 3
Panola.....	District 5
Parker.....	District 3
Parmer.....	District 2
Pecos.....	District 6
Polk.....	District 5
Potter.....	District 1
Presidio.....	District 6

Rains.....	District 4
Randall.....	District 1
Reagan.....	District 6
Real.....	District 10
Red River.....	District 4
Reeves.....	District 6
Refugio.....	District 11
Roberts.....	District 1
Robertson.....	District 8
Rockwall.....	District 4
Runnels.....	District 7
Rusk.....	District 5
Sabine.....	District 5
San Augustine.....	District 5
San Jacinto.....	District 9
San Patricio.....	District 11
San Saba.....	District 7
Schleicher.....	District 7
Scurry.....	District 2
Shackelford.....	District 7
Shelby.....	District 5
Sherman.....	District 1
Smith.....	District 5
Somervell.....	District 8
Starr.....	District 12
Stephens.....	District 3
Sterling.....	District 7
Stonewall.....	District 3
Sutton.....	District 10
Swisher.....	District 2
Tarrant.....	District 4
Taylor.....	District 7
Terrell.....	District 6
Terry.....	District 2
Throckmorton.....	District 3
Titus.....	District 4
Tom Green.....	District 7
Travis.....	District 10
Trinity.....	District 5
Tyler.....	District 5
Upshur.....	District 5
Upton.....	District 6
Uvalde.....	District 10
Val Verde.....	District 6
Van Zandt.....	District 4
Victoria.....	District 11
Walker.....	District 9
Waller.....	District 9
Ward.....	District 6
Washington.....	District 11
Webb.....	District 12
Wharton.....	District 11
Wheeler.....	District 1
Wichita.....	District 3
Wilbarger.....	District 3
Willacy.....	District 12
Williamson.....	District 8
Wilson.....	District 10
Winkler.....	District 6
Wise.....	District 3
Wood.....	District 5
Yoakum.....	District 2
Young.....	District 3
Zapata.....	District 12
Zavala.....	District 12

Introduction

The Conservation Reserve Program (CRP) is one of the most successful Federal agricultural programs. While the program has been adjusted over time, for more than 30 years the primary emphasis has been to protect environmentally sensitive land while providing farmers with annual rental payments.

Texas has historically had the highest number of acres enrolled in the CRP. In any given year, 3 to 4 million of the slightly more than 30 million acres nationally have been enrolled in Texas.

Over time, as contracts have expired, most of the land that had been enrolled in the program was re-enrolled. However, due to funding limitations, the acreage cap has been reduced which will likely mean that many Texas farmers and landowners will not be able to re-enroll this land in the CRP. This publication, focusing on Texas AgriLife Extension District 6, is excerpted from a larger work and is part of a planned series of publications designed to provide farmers and landowners statewide with additional information regarding their options as their contracts begin to expire. This publication addresses where the CRP acreage is in Texas AgriLife Extension District 6 and when contracts will be expiring, as well as providing a historical view of how important the CRP program has been over the past 30 years. If you wish to see the larger report, it is available on the AFPC website at <http://www.afpc.tamu.edu/pubs/2/541/RR-10-01%20-%20for%20web.pdf>

Conservation Reserve Program Legislative History

The Conservation Reserve Program (CRP) was first established by the Food Security Act of 1985 with the purpose of removing up to 45 million acres from production and reducing damage to highly erodible soils and environmentally sensitive land. Patterned after the conservation reserve part of the Soil Bank Program that was initiated during the 1950s, the program offered annual rental payments and cost-share assistance up to 50% of expenses to producers for taking their land out of production and establishing long-term conserving covers. Landowners could enter into contracts for a minimum of 10 years or a maximum of 15 years. A county could not enroll more than 25% of its cropland unless the Secretary of Agriculture determined that doing so would not have an adverse economic impact. In its early years, CRP eligibility was limited to land with highly erodible soils, and, during the first nine signups, 34 million acres were enrolled.

The Food, Conservation, Agriculture, and Trade Act of 1990 (1990 Farm Bill) extended CRP land eligibility beyond highly erodible soils to include state water quality priority areas, plots of land that adopted high priority conservation practices, and "Conservation Priority Areas" (Chesapeake Bay, Long Island Sound, and the Great Lakes watershed). Producers were given the option to participate in limited fall and winter grazing or cropping between trees on CRP land in exchange for reduced rental rates. The 1990 Farm Bill also made changes to the way CRP contracts were ranked and annual rental rates were applied. The Environmental Benefits Index (EBI) was created as a way to rank offers based on water quality, air quality, and soil erodibility concerns. Maximum soil specific rental rates were also set allowing producers with highly productive and highly erodible soils to enter into contracts.

The Federal Agricultural Improvement Reform Act of 1996 capped CRP enrollment at 36.4 million acres. Wildlife habitat was also added to the EBI. CRP continuous sign-up was created to allow environmentally desirable land devoted to specific conservation practices to be enrolled in CRP at any time. Eligible conservation practices included riparian buffers, wildlife habitat buffers, wetland buffers, filter strips, wetland restoration, grass waterways, shelterbelts, living snow fences, contour grass strips, salt tolerant vegetation, and shallow water areas for wildlife. Continuous sign-up contracts were not subject to competitive bidding. The USDA allowed some producers an early out option to modify or end their CRP contracts after 5 years of the program. During 1997 – 2002, many of the early CRP contracts began to expire and approximately 22 million acres were enrolled. The

expiring contracts were not automatically renewed. There was increased competition because bids were ranked by the EBI.

The Farm Security and Rural Investment Act of 2002 made a few minor changes to CRP. It increased the acreage cap to 39.2 million acres. Cropping history requirements were changed to four of the last six years. CRP enrollment began to shift geographically. The Northern Great Plains region gained slightly at the expense of the Heartland and the Southern Seaboard. The Plains were able to offer land at a lower rental rate to increase the attractiveness of their offers.

The Food, Conservation, and Energy Act of 2008 capped CRP at 32 million acres beginning on October 1, 2009. Eligibility requirements were modified to land cropped in four of six years prior to 2008 rather than 2002. Alfalfa, legumes, and multi-year grasses in a rotation practice with an annual commodity could be used to meet the cropping history requirement. A local preference criterion was also added in determining the acceptability of CRP offers. In previous bills the Secretary used different criteria for different States and regions to determine acceptability. No more than 25% of a county's cropland could be enrolled in CRP; however, exceptions were created to waive this limit in the case of continuous or Conservation Reserve Enhancement Program (CREP) enrollment. A provision was also added for beginning or socially disadvantaged producers to help them make the transition from CRP land to production or other conservation programs; \$25 million in funding was authorized for these transitions.

About 75% of CRP contracts (28 million acres) are scheduled to expire between 2007 and 2010. During 2006, in an attempt to distribute the upcoming administrative burden, holders of expiring contracts were allowed to re-enroll or extend their contracts, and 82% of contract holders chose to do this. Over the next five years, 3.9 – 5.6 million acres are scheduled to expire annually.

Background Sources:

O'Brien, Doug. "Conservation Reserve Program." Summary and Evolution of U.S. Farm Bill Conservation Titles – Expanded Discussions. Fayetteville, AR: The National Agricultural Law Center. [Accessed April 2010]. Available online at <http://www.nationalaglawcenter.org/assets/farmbills/conservation-expanded.html#reserve-90>

USDA Economic Research Service. "Conservation Policy: Land Retirement Programs." Briefing Rooms. Washington, DC: USDA Economic Research Service. [Accessed April 2010]. Available online at <http://www.ers.usda.gov/Briefing/conservationPolicy/retirement.htm>

USDA Economic Research Service. "Title II: Conservation." 2008 Farm Bill Side-By-Side. Washington, DC: USDA Economic Research Service. [Accessed April 2010]. Available online at <http://www.ers.usda.gov/FarmBill/2008/Titles/TitleIIConservation.htm>

Report Data Sources

Smith, Jackie G., Joe L. Outlaw, Edward G. Smith, Travis D. Miller, Steve H. Amosson and Stan J. Bevers. Texas CRP Database Book. College Station, TX: Texas Agricultural Extension Service, January 24, 1996.

USDA Farm Service Agency. "Contract Extension, Expirations and Enrollment Update, CRP Contract Summary and Statistics." Conservation Programs. Washington, DC: USDA Farm Service Agency. [Accessed February 2010.] Available online at <http://www.fsa.usda.gov/FSA/webapp?area=home&subject=copr&topic=rns-css>

USDA Farm Service Agency. "Monthly Active CRP Contract Reports." Conservation Programs. Washington, DC: USDA Farm Service Agency. [Accessed February 2010.] Available online at <http://www.fsa.usda.gov/FSA/webapp?area=home&subject=copr&topic=rns-crt>

Figure 1: Texas Conservation Reserve Program Signups 1-12




Figure 2: Texas Conservation Reserve Program Signups 13-38




Figure 3: Conservation Reserve Program Acres Expiring in 2010




Figure 4: Conservation Reserve Program Acres Expiring in 2011




Figure 5: Conservation Reserve Program Acres Expiring in 2012




Figure 6: Conservation Reserve Program Acres Expiring in 2013




Figure 7: Conservation Reserve Program Acres Expiring in 2014




Figure 8: Conservation Reserve Program Acres Expiring in 2015




Figure 9: Top Ten Texas Counties in Acres Enrolled in CRP (Signups 13-38)




Figure 10: Top Ten Texas Counties in Number of CRP Contracts (Signups 13-38)




Figure 11: Top Ten Texas Counties in Average Acres per CRP Contract (Signups 13-38)




Figure 12: Top Ten Texas Counties in Average Rental per Acre Enrolled in CRP (Signups 13-38)




Table 1. CRP Data for Texas and Extension Districts (Signups 1-12).									
	Total Acres*	Contracts	Average Acres/Contract	Average Rental Rate	Land Class†				
					1 and 2	3	4	5 through 7	
Texas	4,138,160	19,707	210	\$39.54	695,438	2,533,753	702,565	192,764	
District 1	1,119,507	4,119	272	\$39.15	101,871	914,546	92,770	7,118	
District 2	1,614,575	7,262	222	\$39.30	178,463	927,887	423,647	80,596	
District 3	473,579	2,556	185	\$39.24	136,764	271,008	49,563	16,109	
District 4	96,191	815	118	\$39.39	39,407	34,082	14,158	7,457	
District 5	7,070	74	96	\$39.14	1,501	2,802	1,331	1,436	
District 6	250,786	937	268	\$39.56	30,545	100,149	66,534	53,346	
District 7	308,261	2,281	135	\$39.04	140,710	150,717	6,528	7,019	
District 8	77,402	763	101	\$39.09	16,531	41,386	12,840	5,997	
District 9	371	4	93	\$39.82		69	302		
District 10	12,271	58	212	\$39.43	3,587	4,217	711	3,757	
District 11	22,317	145	154	\$48.55	12,558	9,152	94	513	
District 12	155,829	693	225	\$45.62	33,502	77,738	34,087	9,415	
* General signup acres only. Continuous signup did not begin until Signup 14.									
† In later signups the land class was replaced with an environmental benefits index.									

Table 2. CRP Data for Texas and Extension Districts (Signups 13-38).							
	Total Acres	Contracts	Average Acres/Contract	Average Rental Rate	General Acres	Continuous Acres	Average Erosion Index
Texas	3,305,540	21,152	156	\$35.43	3,254,107	51,296	11
District 1	824,623	3,702	223	\$34.57	824,623	3,453	9
District 2	1,524,104	9,918	154	\$36.06	1,523,821	283	11
District 3	288,870	2,174	133	\$34.71	285,199	3,672	9
District 4	25,795	452	57	\$37.30	23,577	2,219	9
District 5	2,009	29	69	\$29.82	2,009	0	7
District 6	226,570	1,272	178	\$33.38	221,072	5,498	18
District 7	191,020	2,144	89	\$34.79	182,300	8,720	9
District 8	11,087	362	31	\$35.47	9,859	1,228	10
District 9							
District 10	5,618	89	63	\$37.99	4,068	1,550	6
District 11	849	61	14	\$46.60	0	849	2
District 12	118,041	735	161	\$36.76	116,182	1,859	10
(As of February 2010)							

Table 3. Acres of CRP for Texas and Extension Districts by Signup Period.														
	1 - 12	13	14*	15	16	17*	18	19*	20	21*	22*	23*	24*	
Texas	4,138,160	955	47	1,315,036	784,654	33	403,026		188,344	333	935	1,746	9,567	
District 1	111,507			274,043	273,207		97,390		37,640		229	53		
District 2	1,614,577			715,744	296,975		179,678		61,845					
District 3	473,582			134,631	80,160		40,557		16,831	36		85	50	
District 4	96,192		47	8,880	3,678		7,516		2,689		172	264	426	
District 5	7,071			681										
District 6	250,786			76,221	45,260		26,450		14,461				4,083	
District 7	308,262			49,480	54,199		31,560		32,451			329	2,336	
District 8	77,403			1,803	4,924		1,066		663		17		146	
District 9	371													
District 10	12,272			814	1,434		1,821						398	
District 11	22,318												53	
District 12	155,830	955		40,583	18,476		8,240		9,894				663	
* denotes continuous signup numbers														

Table 4. Acres of CRP for Texas and Extension Districts by Signup Period.														
	25*	26	27*	28*	29	30*	31*	32-REX	33	34*	35*	36*	37*	38*
Texas	5,400	85,775	2,932	4,785	103,304	9,429	5,008	323,356	49,657		6,014	3,930	944	193
District 1		5,519		50	11,111			112,930	9,330		2,350	772		
District 2		42,120			57,639	120		155,485	14,336				163	
District 3	618	1,761	568	230	4,053	1,241	259	5,162	2,044		585			
District 4	637			138	145	471		670					65	
District 5								1,329						
District 6		17,910	145	38	9,238		1,174	29,788	1,744		58			
District 7	1,242	4,903	268	298	2,964	1,701	1,057	4,340	2,404		964	527		
District 8		940	122	81		217	160		464		195	252	39	
District 9														
District 10	123			718		226						84		
District 11			88	315		203	191							
District 12	284	7,785		912	10,608			7,018	12,624					

* denotes continuous signup numbers

Table 5. CRP Enrollment by Year of Contract Expiration by Extension District (All Signup Types).												
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	>2019
Texas	561,330	670,661	685,133	822,585	363,052	169,686	139,748	61,391	138,557	103,951	88,943	53,561
District 1	181,665	150,588	119,958	253,369	97,665	60,110	22,346	11,317	55,294	21,968	38,504	5,419
District 2	254,062	277,812	379,397	356,682	170,640	76,534	73,313	17,966	41,987	64,050	35,822	34,391
District 3	61,904	95,096	80,825	80,930	15,344	4,395	5,322	2,502	4,296	2,438	2,342	1,424
District 4	5,741	6,937	6,272	8,159	4,247	832	1,209	827	1,343	189	598	278
District 5		11	442	258	307	42	36		1,454	89		317
District 6	18,462	48,833	21,940	50,571	40,767	15,157	15,022	5,640	20,515	6,008	6,939	5,866
District 7	21,300	53,252	48,743	46,552	19,647	6,172	6,467	5,956	5,515	4,555	1,321	2,645
District 8	1,946	5,993	2,074	2,304	1,497	507	515	709	314	308	198	234
District 9												
District 10	3,899	1,762	1,335	1,882	1,097	90	215	349	832	884	359	360
District 11	554	78	198	399	27	81	42	177	278	348	379	347
District 12	11,333	29,239	22,070	20,686	11,663	5,368	15,109	15,680	6,515	2,764	2,000	1,408
(As of February 2010)												

	Total Acres*	Contracts	Average Acres/Contract	Average Rental Rate	Land Class†				
					1 and 2	3	4	5 through 7	
Texas	4,138,160	19,707	210	\$39.54	695,438	2,533,753	702,565	192,764	
District 6	250,786	937	268	\$39.56	30,545	100,149	66,534	53,346	
Andrews	33,870	49	691	\$38.32			1,299	32,571	
Brewster									
Crane									
Crockett									
Culberson	7,349	15	490	\$34.77	7,349				
Ector									
El Paso									
Glasscock	13,793	45	307	\$40.58		6,313	3,859	3,622	
Howard	44,913	241	186	\$41.07	1,491	29,396	11,831	2,044	
Hudspeth	1,735	9	193	\$38.85	795	765	175		
Jeff Davis	833	3	278	\$38.00				833	
Loving									
Martin	78,260	294	266	\$40.09	1,661	47,206	27,968	1,425	
Midland	16,278	86	189	\$39.94	1,618	9,290	3,514	1,796	
Pecos	15,612	59	265	\$38.35	9,485	3,686	475	1,966	
Presidio									
Reagan	10,417	34	306	\$38.63	747		9,624	46	
Reeves	19,853	75	265	\$38.84	7,400	3,493	156	8,803	
Terrell									
Upton	7,633	25	305	\$38.64			7,633		
Val Verde									
Ward	240	2	120	\$40.00				240	
Winkler									
* General signup acres only. Continuous signup did not begin until Signup 14.									
† In later signups the land class was replaced with an environmental benefits index.									

Table 7. CRP Data for Texas, District 6, and District Counties (Signups 13-38).							
	Total Acres	Contracts	Average Acres/Contract	Average Rental Rate	General Acres	Continuous Acres	Average Erosion Index
Texas	3,305,540	21,152	156	\$35.43	3,254,107	51,296	11
District 6	226,570	1,272	178	\$33.38	221,072	5,498	18
Andrews	36,458	61	598	\$27.62	36,458		19
Brewster	3,114	7	445	\$26.65		3,114	72
Crane	58	5	12	\$38.68		58	
Crockett							
Culberson	2,512	14	179	\$35.73	2,512		20
Ector							
El Paso							
Glasscock	2,442	26	94	\$34.63	2,442		13
Howard	54,928	351	156	\$39.20	54,928		7
Hudspeth							
Jeff Davis							
Loving							
Martin	55,406	325	170	\$35.91	55,406		15
Midland	18,522	133	139	\$38.49	18,522		10
Pecos	17,055	82	208	\$32.12	17,055		18
Presidio	2,143	18	119	\$27.10		2,143	1
Reagan	5,516	27	204	\$29.87	5,516		14
Reeves	26,220	198	132	\$32.27	26,037	183	20
Terrell							
Upton	1,756	13	135	\$31.15	1,756		8
Val Verde							
Ward	439	12	37	\$37.85	439		20
Winkler							
(As of February 2010)							

Table 8. Acres of CRP for Texas, District 6, and District Counties by Signup Period.														
	1 - 12	13	14*	15	16	17*	18	19*	20	21*	22*	23*	24*	
Texas	4,138,160	955	47	1,315,036	784,654	33	403,026		188,344	333	935	1,746	9,567	
District 6	250,786			76,221	45,260		26,450		14,461				4,083	
Andrews	33,870			18,698										
Brewster	0												3,114	
Crane	0													
Crockett	0													
Culberson	7,349				1,558		954							
Ector	0													
El Paso	0													
Glasscock	13,793				796		1,377		269					
Howard	44,913			6,815	10,498		8,219		3,838					
Hudspeth	1,735													
Jeff Davis	833													
Loving	0													
Martin	78,260			24,434	15,602		7,893		2,904					
Midland	16,278			5,190	1,211		3,115		1,798					
Pecos	15,612			8,940	6,999		1,117							
Presidio	0												970	
Reagan	10,417			1,005	4,512									
Reeves	19,853			10,930	2,328		3,776		5,421					
Terrell	0													
Upton	7,633				1,756									
Val Verde	0													
Ward	240			209					231					
Winkler	0													
* denotes continuous signup numbers														

Table 9. Acres of CRP for Texas, District 6, and District Counties by Signup Period.														
	25*	26	27*	28*	29	30*	31*	32-REX	33	34*	35*	36*	37*	38*
Texas	5,400	85,775	2,932	4,785	103,304	9,429	5,008	323,356	49,657		6,014	3,930	944	193
District 6		17,910	145	38	9,238		1,174	29,788	1,744		58			
Andrews								17,760						
Brewster														
Crane											58			
Crockett														
Culberson														
Ector														
El Paso														
Glasscock														
Howard		12,821			5,656			5,993	1,087					
Hudspeth														
Jeff Davis														
Loving														
Martin					1,969			2,604						
Midland		1,506			1,613			3,432	657					
Pecos														
Presidio							1,174							
Reagan														
Reeves		3,582	145	38										
Terrell														
Upton														
Val Verde														
Ward														
Winkler														
* denotes continuous signup numbers														

Table 10. CRP Enrollment by Year of Contract Expiration for District 6 Counties (All Signup Types).												
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	> 2019
Texas	561,330	670,661	685,133	822,585	363,052	169,686	139,748	61,391	138,557	103,951	88,943	53,561
District 6	18,462	48,833	21,940	50,571	40,767	15,157	15,022	5,640	20,515	6,008	6,939	5,866
Andrews		12,398		6,301					17,760			
Brewster			332	1,706				1,407	117			50
Crane									58			
Crockett						83				102	248	364
Culberson		165		357	1,512	954						
Ector												
El Paso												
Glasscock	350	198	927	850	697	896	581	259		39		134
Howard	2,258	3,119	2,595	10,410	17,918	4,823	7,618	1,087		1,307	4,726	813
Hudspeth												
Jeff Davis		744					34	106	92		43	
Loving												
Martin	10,514	15,210	10,184	12,668	6,815	2,757	2,703	69	435	772	1,409	2,170
Midland	716	2,493	573	3,591	3,981	1,749	1,869	657	1,246	2,168	18	202
Pecos	4,126	3,833	4,294	5,264	3,217	1,010		102	130			
Presidio				527				1,195	633	631	232	752
Reagan		3,411	1,565	359	257	31				20		
Reeves	499	5,374	1,215	8,502	6,370	2,526	2,080	291	44	875	4	1,162
Terrell												
Upton		1,680	253	37		328						
Val Verde								467			259	123
Ward		209					136			97		95
Winkler												
(As of February 2010)												

A policy research report presents the final results of a research project undertaken by AFPC faculty. At least a portion of the contents of this report may have been published previously as an AFPC issue paper or working paper. Since issue and working papers are preliminary reports, the final results contained in a research paper may differ - but, hopefully, in only marginal terms. Research reports are viewed by faculty of AFPC and the Department of Agricultural Economics, Texas A&M University. AFPC welcomes comments and discussions of these results and their implications. Address such comments to the author(s) at:

Agricultural and Food Policy Center
Department of Agricultural Economics
Texas A&M University
College Station, Texas 77843-2124

or call (979) 845-5913.

Copies of this publication have been deposited with the Texas State Library in compliance with the State Depository Law.

Mention of a trademark or a proprietary product does not constitute a guarantee or a warranty of the product by Texas AgriLife Research or Texas AgriLife Extension Service and does not imply its approval to the exclusion of other products that also may be suitable.

All programs and information of Texas AgriLife Research or Texas AgriLife Extension Service are available to everyone without regard to race, color, religion, sex, age, handicap, or national origin.